arXiv:1105.2650v1 [math.FA] 13 May 2011

MULTIPLICATIVE ISOMETRIES ON THE SMIRNOV CLASS

OSAMU HATORI AND YASUO IIDA

Abstract. We show that T is a surjective *multiplicative* (but not necessarily linear) isometry from the Smirnov class on the open unit disk, the ball, or the polydisk onto itself, if and only if there exists a holomorphic automorphism Φ such that $T(f) = f \circ \Phi$ for every class element f or $T(f) = \overline{f \circ \overline{\Phi}}$ for every class element f, where the automorphism Φ is a unitary transformation in the case of the ball and $\Phi(z_1, \dots, z_n) = (\lambda_1 z_{i_1}, \dots, \lambda_n z_{i_n})$ for $|\lambda_j| = 1$, $1 \le j \le n$ and $(i_1, \dots, i_n)$ is some permutation of the integers from 1 through n in the case of the n-dimension polydisk.

1. Introduction

Linear isometries on the Smirnov class on the ball and the polydisk of one and several complex variables were studied by Stephenson [4] and the complete representation was given. A long tradition of inquiry seeks representations of linear isometries not only on spaces of holomorphic functions but on Banach spaces and Banach algebras, and in recent years linearity for given isometries are sometimes not prerequisite. In this paper we study *multiplicative* isometries on the Smirnov class on the polydisk or on the open ball of n-complex variables for $n \geq 1$. The space of n-complex variables $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$ is denoted by $\mathbb{C}^n$. The unit polydisk $\{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : |z_j| < 1, 1 \le j \le n\}$ is denoted by U^n and the distinguished boundary $\mathbb{T}^n$ is $\{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : |z_j| = 1, 1 \le j \le n\}$. The unit ball $\{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : \sum_{j=1}^n |z_j|^2 < 1\}$ is denoted by B_n and S_n its boundary. In this paper X denotes the unit polydisk or the unit ball for $n \geq 1$ and Γ denotes $\mathbb{T}^n$ for $X = U^n$ and S_n for $X = B_n$. The normalized (in the sense that $\sigma(\Gamma) = 1$) Lebesgue measure on Γ is denoted by $d\sigma$.

The Nevanlinna class $N(X)$ on X is defined as the set of all holomorphic functions f on X such that

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 30H15,32A38,46B04.

Key words and phrases. isometries, Smirnov class, multiplicative maps.

The first author was partly supported by the Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research, Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

$$\sup_{0<r<1}\int \log^+|f(rz)|d\sigma(z)<\infty$$

or equivalently

$$\sup_{0<r<1}\int \log(1+|f(rz)|)d\sigma(z)<\infty$$

hold. It is known that $f \in N(X)$ has a finite nontangential limit, also denoted by f, almost everywhere on Γ. The Smirnov class $N_*(X)$ is defined as the set of all $f \in N(X)$ which satisfies the equality

$$\sup_{0<r<1}\int \log^+|f(rz)|d\sigma(z)=\int \log^+|f(z)|d\sigma(z)$$

or equivalently

$$\sup_{0<r<1}\int \log(1+|f(rz)|)d\sigma(z)=\int \log(1+|f(z)|)d\sigma(z).$$

Define a metric

$$d(f,g)=\int \log(1+|f(z)-g(z)|)d\sigma(z)$$

for $f, g \in N_*(X)$. With the metric $d(\cdot,\cdot)$ the Smirnov class $N_*(X)$ is an F-algebra. Recall that an F-algebra is a topological algebra in which the topology arises from a complete metric. For each $0 < p \le \infty$, the Hardy space is denoted by $H^p(X)$ with the norm $\|\cdot\|_p$, and $H^p(X)$ is a subspace of $N_*(X)$, in particular, $H^\infty(X)$ is a dense subalgebra of $N_*(X)$. The convergence on the metric is stronger than uniform convergence on compact subsets of X.

In this paper we consider surjective isometries on the Smirnov class, we do not assume but prove the complex or conjugate linearity for these isometries.

2. The Results

Let α be a complex number with $|\alpha| = 1$ and Φ a unitary transformation for the case of $X = B_n$ and $\Phi(z_1, \dots, z_n) = (\lambda_1 z_{i_1}, \dots, \lambda_n z_{i_n})$, where $|\lambda_j| = 1$, $1 \le j \le n$ and $(i_1, \dots, i_n)$ is some permutation of the integers from 1 through n. Then $T(f) = \alpha f \circ \Phi$ defines a complex-linear isometry from $N_*(X)$ onto itself (cf. [4, Corollary 2.3]). We also see that $T(f) = \alpha\overline{f \circ \overline{\Phi}}$ defines a conjugate linear isometry from $N_*(X)$ onto itself. In particular, if $\alpha = 1$, then these operators $T's$ are *multiplicative*. We show that the converse holds: multiplicative isometries on $N_*(X)$ are of one of the forms above. We do not assume linearity for these isometries and a crucial part of a proof is to observe that they are complex or conjugate linear. If the Smirnov class were a normed space, it could be much easier to handle by a direct application of the celebrated theorem of Mazur and Ulam for isometries between normed linear spaces [3](cf. [5]). But it is not the case.

Proposition 2.1. *Let* n *be a positive interger and let* X *be either* B_n *or* U^n. *Suppose that* $T : N_*(X) \to N_*(X)$ *is a surjective isometry. If* T *is 2-homogeneous in the sense that* $T(2f) = 2T(f)$ *holds for every* $f \in N_*(X)$, *then either of the following formulas holds:*

(1) $T(f) = \alpha f \circ \Phi$ *for every* $f \in N_*(X)$;
(2) $T(f) = \alpha \overline{f \circ \overline{\Phi}}$ *for every* $f \in N_*(X)$,

where α *is a complex number with the unit modulus and for* $X = B_n$, Φ *is unitary transformation; for* $X = U^n$, $\Phi(z_1, \ldots, z_n) = (\lambda_1 z_{i_1}, \ldots, \lambda_n z_{i_n})$, *where* $|\lambda_j| = 1$, $1 \le j \le n$ *and* $(i_1, \ldots, i_n)$ *is some permutation of the integers from* 1 *through* n.

Proof. A crucial part of a proof is to observe the linearity (complex or conjugate) of T, but a difficulty comes from the fact that $N_*(X)$ is not a normed space. Instead of directing T on $N_*(X)$ we consider the restrictions of T on certain subspaces which is a normed space; we assert first that $T(H^N(X)) = H^N(X)$ for each $1 \le N \le \infty$, then applying the Mazur-Ulam theorem [3](cf. [5]) concerning to isometries between *normed spaces* and a theorem of Ellis [1, Theorem] on isometries between uniform algebras to observe that T is complex-linear or conjugate linear. Once the linearity is established one can simply apply a theorem of Stephenson [4] to prove the desired conclusion.

Let $f, g \in H^1(X)$. It requires only elementary calculation applying the 2-homogenuity of T to check that the equation

$$\int \log(1 + |\frac{f}{2^n} - \frac{g}{2^n}|) d\sigma = \int \log(1 + |\frac{T(f)}{2^n} - \frac{T(g)}{2^n}|) d\sigma$$

holds. In a way similar to the proof of Theorem 2.1 in [4] we see that $T(H^1(X)) = H^1(X)$ and the restricted map $T|_{H^1(X)}$ is an isometry with respect to the metric induced by the H^1-norm $\| \cdot \|_1$. Due to the Mazur-Ulam theorem [3] $T|_{H^1(X)}$ is a real-linear isometry since $T(0) = 0$, which is observed by just letting $f = 0$ in the equation $T(2f) = 2T(f)$.

For each positive integer N let the function θ_N on the set of the non-negative real numbers be defined as

$$\theta_N(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{(-1)^N}{N+1}, & x = 0 \\ \left(\log(1+x) - \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \frac{(-1)^j}{j+1} x^{j+1}\right) / x^{N+1}, & x > 0. \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

Note that $\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \frac{(-1)^j}{j+1} x^{j+1}$ corresponds to the partial sum of the Taylar series of $\log(1+x)$ for $|x| < 1$. It is easy to check by a simple calculation that

θ_N is continuous on $x \geq 0$ and $\big((-1)^N x^{N+1}\theta_N(x)\big)' = \frac{x^N}{1+x}$ holds, hence the inequality $(-1)^N\theta_N(x) \geq 0$ holds for every $x \geq 0$. We will make use of the inequality $(-1)^N\theta_N(x) \geq 0$ later in applying Fatou theorem.

We claim that equations $T(H^N(X)) = H^N(X)$ and $\|f\|_N = \|T(f)\|_N$ hold for every positive integer N. We prove the two equations by induction on N. We have already learnt that it is in the case for $N = 1$. Assume that it is true that the two equations hold for $N = 1, \ldots, m$. Suppose that $f \in H^{m+1}(X)$. Then $f \in H^k(X)$ for every $k = 1, \ldots, m$ as $H^p(X) \subset H^q(X)$ for $p \geq q$. We have already learnt that T is real-linear on $H^1(X)$, hence $\int \frac{|f|^k}{l} d\sigma = \int \frac{|T(f)|^k}{l} d\sigma$ holds as $\|f\|_k = \|T(f)\|_k$ for every $k = 1, \ldots, m$ and every positive integer l. Since T is isometric on $N_*(X)$ and linear on $H^1(X)$ the equation $\int \log(1 + \frac{|f|}{l})d\sigma = \int \log(1 + \frac{|T(f)|}{l})d\sigma$ is observed for every positive integer l. Therefore

$$(-1)^m \left(\int \log(1 + \frac{|f|}{l})d\sigma - \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{(-1)^j}{j+1} \int \frac{|f|^{j+1}}{l} d\sigma \right)$$
$$= (-1)^m \left(\int \log(1 + \frac{|T(f)|}{l})d\sigma - \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{(-1)^j}{j+1} \int \frac{|T(f)|^{j+1}}{l} d\sigma \right)$$

holds for every positive integer l. It follows that

(2.2)
$$\int |f|^{m+1}(-1)^m\theta_m(|f|^m/l)d\sigma = \int |T(f)|^{m+1}(-1)^m\theta_m(|T(f)|^m/l)d\sigma.$$

Letting $l \to \infty$ and applying the Lebesgue theorem on dominated convergence to the left-hand side and the Fatou theorem to the right-hand side we have

$$\int |f|^{m+1}(-1)^m\theta_m(0)d\sigma \geq \int |T(f)|^{m+1}(-1)^m\theta_m(0)d\sigma.$$

Thus $|T(f)|^{m+1}$ is integrable and letting $l \to \infty$ again in the equation (2.2) and since $\theta_m(0) = \frac{(-1)^m}{m+1} \neq 0$ we have that

$$\int |f|^{m+1}d\sigma = \int |T(f)|^{m+1}d\sigma$$

by the Lebesgue theorem on dominated convergence in both sides at this time. We conclude that $T(H^{m+1}(X)) \subset H^{m+1}(X)$ and $\|f\|_{m+1} = \|T(f)\|_{m+1}$ hold. Conversely $H^{m+1}(X) \subset T(H^{m+1}(X))$ holds in a way similar to the above.

Since $d\sigma$ is a finite measure we verify that

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} \|f\|_m = \|f\|_\infty$$

holds for every $f \in H^\infty(X)$. Since $\|f\|_m = \|T(f)\|_m$ for every $f \in H^\infty(X)$ and $\lim_{m\to\infty} \|T(f)\|_m = \|T(f)\|_\infty$ we see that $T(f) \in H^\infty(X)$ and $\|f\|_\infty = \|T(f)\|_\infty$ for every $f \in H^\infty(X)$. In a way similar we see that $f \in H^\infty(X)$ if $T(f)$ is in $H^\infty(X)$. Thus $T|_{H^\infty(X)}$ is a surjective isometry with respect to $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ from $H^\infty(X)$ onto itself. Since we may suppose that $H^\infty(X)$ is a uniform algebra on the maximal ideal space and the maximal ideal space is connected by the Šilov idempotent theorem, we see that $T|_{H^\infty(X)}$ is complex-linear or conjugate linear (i.e. $T(\alpha f + g) = \overline{\alpha}T(f) + T(g)$ for $f, g \in H^\infty(X)$) by a theorem of Ellis [1, Theorem].

Suppose that $T|_{H^\infty(X)}$ is complex-linear. Then T is complex-linear on $N_*(X)$ since $H^\infty(X)$ is dense in $N_*(X)$ and the convergence in the original metric is stronger than uniform convergence on compact subets of X. It follows by Corollary 2.3 in [4] that the first fomula of the conclusion is satisfied.

Suppose that $T|_{H^\infty(X)}$ is a conjugate linear map. Then T is conjugate linear on $N_*(X)$ as before. Define a map $\widetilde{T} : N_*(X) \to N_*(X)$ by

$$\widetilde{T}(f)(z_1, \dots, z_n) = T(\overline{f(\overline{z_1}, \dots, \overline{z_n})})$$

for $f \in N_*(X)$. Then $\widetilde{T}$ is complex-linear isometry from $N_*(X)$ onto itself. Applying Corollary 2.3 in [4] to $\widetilde{T}$ we see that T is represented by the second formula of the conclusion. □

We say a map $T : N_*(X) \to N_*(X)$ is multiplicative if $T(fg) = T(f)T(g)$ for every $f, g \in N_*(X)$. In this section we characterize multiplicative isometries from $N_*(X)$ onto itself. Let Φ be a unitary transformation for $X = B_n$ and for $X = U^n$ $\Phi(z_1, \dots, z_n) = (\lambda_1 z_{i_1}, \dots, \lambda_n z_{i_n})$, where $|\lambda_j| = 1$, $1 \le j \le n$ and $(i_1, \dots, i_n)$ is some permutation of the integers from 1 through n. Then $T(f) = f \circ \Phi$ defines a complex-linear multiplicative isometry from $N_*(X)$ onto itself and $T(f) = \overline{f \circ \overline{\Phi}}$ defines a conjugate linear multiplicative isometry from $N_*(X)$ onto itself. We show that they are only muliplicative isometries from $N_*(X)$ onto itself.

Theorem 2.2. *Let T be a multiplicative (not necessarily linear) isometry from $N_*(X)$ onto itself. Then there exists a holomorphic automorphism Φ on X such that either of the following holds:*

(1) $T(f) = f \circ \Phi$ *for every* $f \in N_*(X)$;

(2) $T(f) = \overline{f(\overline{\Phi})}$ *for every* $f \in N_*(X)$,

where Φ is unitary transformation for $X = B_n$; $\Phi(z_1, \dots, z_n) = (\lambda_1 z_{i_1}, \dots, \lambda_n z_{i_n})$ for $X = U^n$, where $|\lambda_j| = 1$ for every $1 \le j \le n$ and $(i_1, \dots, i_n)$ is some permutation of the integers from 1 through n.

Proof. First we claim that $T(1) = 1$ since $T(1) = T(1)^2$ and $T(1)$ is a holomorphic function on a connected open set X. Next we show $T(2) = 2$. Put $r = \frac{1}{2}$. Suppose that $|T(r)| > r$ on a set of positive measure on Γ, where $T(r)$ here is considered as the nontangential limit on Γ. Then there exists a subset E of positive measure and $\varepsilon > 0$ with $|T(r)| \geq (1+\varepsilon)r$ on E. Since $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\log(1+(1+\varepsilon)^n r^n)}{\log(1+r^n)} = \infty$, there is a positive integer n_0 with

$$\int_E \log(1+(1+\varepsilon)^{n_0} r^{n_0}) d\sigma > \int \log(1+r^{n_0}) d\sigma.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\int \log(1+r^{n_0}) d\sigma &= \int \log(1+|T(r)|^{n_0}) d\sigma \\ &\geq \int_E \log(1+(1+\varepsilon)^{n_0} r^{n_0}) d\sigma > \int \log(1+r^{n_0}) d\sigma,\end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction proving $|T(r)| \leq r$. Hence $|T(\frac{1}{r})| \geq \frac{1}{r}$ holds as $T(r)T(\frac{1}{r}) = T(1) = 1$. Since

$$\log(1+\frac{1}{r}) = \int \log(1+\frac{1}{r}) d\sigma = \int \log(1+|T(\frac{1}{r})|) d\sigma$$

we have that $|T(\frac{1}{r})| = \frac{1}{r}$ and $|T(r)| = r$. Since $\log(1+(1-r)) = d(r,1) = d(T(r),1)$ and $d(T(r),1) = \int \log(1+|1-T(r)|) d\sigma$ it is easy to check that $T(\frac{1}{2}) = \frac{1}{2}$, hence $T(2) = 2$ for $T(2)T(\frac{1}{2}) = 1$. We have observed that T is a surjective isometry which satisfies $T(2f) = 2T(f)$ as T is multiplicative. It follows by Proposition 2.1 that

$$T(f) = \alpha f \circ \Phi, \quad f \in N_*(X),$$

or

$$T(f) = \alpha \overline{f(\overline{\Phi})}, \quad f \in N_*(X),$$

hold for a complex number α and the holomorphic automorphism Φ as described in Proposition 2.1. The constant $\alpha = 1$ is observed as $T(1) = 1$, hence the conclusion holds. □

REFERENCES

[1] A. J. Ellis, *Real characterizations of function algebras amongst function spaces*, Bull. London Math. Soc., **22**(1990), 381–385

[2] Y. Iida and N. Mochizuki *Isometries of some F-algebras of holomorphic functions*, Arch. Math., 71(1998), 297–300

[3] S. Mazur and S. Ulam, *Sur les transformationes isométriques d'espaces vectoriels normés*, C. R. Acad. Sci, Paris, **194**(1932), 946–948

[4] K. Stephenson, *Isometries of the Nevanlinna class*, Indiana Univ. Math. J., **26**(1977), 307–324

[5] J. Väisälä, *A proof of the Mazur-Ulam theorem*, Amer. Math. Monthly, **110**(7)(2003), 633–635

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Niigata University, Niigata 950-2181 Japan
E-mail address: `hatori@math.sc.niigata-u.ac.jp`

Department of Mathematics, Iwate Medical University, Yahaba, Iwate 028-3694 Japan
E-mail address: `yiida@iwate-med.ac.jp`